

ORDER σ -CONTINUOUS OPERATORS ON BANACH LATTICES

Constantia Niculescu
Department of Mathematics, University of Craiova
Craiova 1100/ROMANIA

The aim of this paper is to extend Lozanovskii's results on Banach lattices having order σ -continuous norms (see [8] for details) to operators defined on Banach lattices.

Let E be a Banach lattice and let F be a Banach space.

An operator $T \in L(E, F)$ is said to be of type A provided that

$0 \leq x_n \downarrow$ in E implies $(Tx_n)_n$ is norm convergent in F .

T is said to be of type B provided that

$0 \leq x_n \uparrow$, $\|x_n\| \leq K$ in E implies $(Tx_n)_n$ is norm convergent in F .

The identity of an order σ -complete Banach lattice E is an operator of type A (respectively of type B) iff E has order σ -continuous norm (respectively E is weakly sequentially complete).

Our main results are as follows

THEOREM A - Let E be an almost σ -complete Banach lattice (the relevant definition appears below), let F be a Banach space and let $T \in L(E, F)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) T is of type A ;
- ii) T'' maps the ideal I_E (generated by E in E'') into F ;
- iii) T has the Pelczynski's property (u), i.e. for each weak Cauchy sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E there is a weakly summable sequence $(y_n)_n$ in $\overline{T(E)}$ such that $Tx_n - \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \xrightarrow{w} 0$;

iv) There exists no subspace X of E , isomorphic to ℓ^∞ , such that $T|_X$ is an isomorphism.

THEOREM B. Let E be a Banach lattice, F a Banach space and $T \in L(E, F)$. Then T is of type B iff there exists no sublattice X of E , lattice isomorphic to c_0 , such that $T|_X$ is an isomorphism.

Related results are discussed in [12].

The author is much indebted to P.G. Dodds for providing him with a copy of [2].

1. PRELIMINARIES

The main ingredients which we need to characterize the operators of type A are a very general scheme to associate AM- and AL- spaces to a given Banach lattice and some consequences of Grothendieck's criterion of weak compactness in a space $C(S)'$.

Let E be a Banach lattice and let $x \in E$, $x > 0$. We consider the ideal E_x generated by x in E .

$$E_x = \{ y \in E ; (\exists) \alpha > 0 \text{ such that } |y| \leq \alpha x \}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|y\|_x = \inf \{ \alpha ; |y| \leq \alpha x \} .$$

Then E_x is an AM- space with a strong order unit (which is x) and thus order isometric to a space $C(S_x)$ for some compact (Hausdorff) S_x .

If $x'' \in E''$, $x'' > 0$, then the Banach lattice $E_{x''} = E''_{x''} \cap E$ endowed with the norm induced by $\| \cdot \|_{x''}$ is also an AM- space and the canonical inclusion $i_{x''}: E_{x''} \longrightarrow E$ is an interval preserving mapping. For each $x' \in E'$, $x' > 0$, we consider on E the following relation of equivalence

$$x \sim y \text{ iff } x'(|x-y|) = 0 .$$

The completion of E/\sim with respect to the norm

$$\|x\|_{x'} = x'(|x|)$$

is an AL- space, denoted by $L^1(x')$. Let us denote by $j_{x'}: E \longrightarrow L^1(x')$ the canonical surjection. Then $(j_{x'})' = i_{x'}$.

The prerequisites which we need on weakly compact operators defined on $C(S)$ - spaces are essentially contained in the following

1.1 THEOREM .Let S be a compact Hausdorff space, E a Banach space and $T \in L(C(S), E)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) T is weakly compact ;
- ii) T maps every bounded sequence of pairwise disjoint elements into a norm convergent sequence ;
- iii) T maps every monotone bounded sequence into a norm convergent sequence ;
- iv) There exists no sublattice X of $C(S)$, order isomorphic to c_0 , such that $T|X$ is an isomorphism ;
- v) There exists a positive Radon measure μ on S such that T is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , i.e.

$$\|T(\cdot)\| \leq \varepsilon \|\cdot\| + \delta(\varepsilon) \cdot \mu(|\cdot|)$$

for each $\varepsilon > 0$;

- vi) T maps every bounded sequence into a sequence with stable subsequences .

Recall that a sequence $(x_n)_n$ of elements of E is said to be stable (with limit x) if there exists an $x \in E$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_{k(i)} - x \right\| = 0 \text{ , uniformly in the set of all strictly increasing sequences } (k(n))_n \text{ of natural numbers.}$$

The equivalence of i)- iii) was proved by Grothendieck [4] and derives from an earlier criterion of weak compactness due to Dunford and Pettis. The condition iv), due to Pelczynski , emphasizes the role of basic sequences in the problem under study. The equivalence of v)-vi) with i) is proved in [10] . H.P. Rosenthal has used Grothendieck 's results to express weak compactness (of a bounded subset of a space $L^1(\mu)$) in terms of relatively disjoint families. We shall need the

following consequence of his theory

1.2 PROPOSITION. (H.P. Rosenthal [13]). Let E be a Banach space.

i) If $T \in L(c_0, E)$ is an operator such that $\inf \|Te_n\| > 0$, where $(e_n)_n$ denotes the natural basis of c_0 , then there exists an infinite subset $M \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $T|_{c_0(M)}$ is an isomorphism.

ii) If $T \in L(l^{\infty}, E)$ is an operator such that $T|_{c_0}$ is an isomorphism then there exists an infinite subset $M \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that $T|_{l^{\infty}(M)}$ is an isomorphism.

2. ALMOST σ -COMPLETE BANACH LATTICES

The aim of this section is to discuss a certain generalization of the concept of (order) σ -completeness of a Banach lattice. The results which we obtain are similar with those proved by Dodds in [3].

2.1 DEFINITION. A Banach lattice E is said to be almost σ -complete provided that for each order bounded sequence of pairwise disjoint positive elements x_n of E there exists an operator $T \in L(l^{\infty}, E)$ such that $Te_n = x_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $(e_n)_n$ denotes the natural basis of c_0 .

A sequence $(x_n)_n$ as in Definition 2.1 above is weakly summable and thus associated to an operator $T \in L(c_0, E)$. (Actually T is a lattice homomorphism from c_0 into a suitable E_x). If E is almost σ -complete then T extends to l^{∞} .

Clearly, every σ -complete Banach lattice is also almost σ -complete. Other examples are indicated below.

2.2 PROPOSITION. Let E be an almost σ -complete Banach lattice and let I be a closed ideal of E . Then E/I is also almost σ -complete. Particularly, the Banach lattice $C(\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}) = l^{\infty}/c_0$ is almost

σ -complete though it is not σ -complete.

Proof. Let $\pi : E \longrightarrow E/I$ the canonical surjection and let $(y_n)_n$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint elements of E/I such that $0 < y_n \leq \pi(x)$ for a suitable $x \in E, x > 0$. Then by Lemma 2 in [1] there exists a sequence $(x_n)_n$ of pairwise disjoint elements of E such that $0 < x_n \leq x$ and $\pi(x_n) = y_n$ for each $n \in N$. The proof ends with an appeal to Definition 2.1 above. \square

2.3 PROPOSITION. Assume the continuum axiom. Then every Banach lattice E having the interpolation property is almost σ -complete. (Recall that a Banach lattice E has the interpolation property provided that for any sequences $(x_n)_n$ and $(y_n)_n$ in E with $x_m \leq y_n$ for every $m, n \in N$, there exists an $x \in E$ such that $x_n \leq x \leq y_n$ for every n).

Proof. In fact, if E has the interpolation property then all the spaces $E_x (x \in E, x > 0)$ have also the interpolation property. As noted in [15], a space $C(S)$ has the interpolation property iff S is an F -space, i.e. disjoint open F_σ -subsets of S have disjoint closures. It remains to apply Lindenstrauss' result in [5]: By assuming the continuum axiom it is true that each operator T from c_0 into a space $C(S)$, with S an F -space, extends to l^∞ . \square

2.4 PROPOSITION. Each complemented sublattice of an almost σ -complete Banach lattice is also almost σ -complete.

An example due to Bade (see [15] for details) shows that the interpolation property does not pass to complemented sublattices. Consequently the almost σ -completeness does not coincide with the interpolation property.

The main result of this section is the following extension of the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem in measure theory

2.5 THEOREM. Let E be an almost σ -complete Banach lattice, let $(x_n')_n \subset E'$ and suppose that $x'(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n'(x)$ exists for each $x \in E$.

Then:

i) For each $0 \leq x \in E$, $\sup_n |x_n'(x_k)| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for every disjoint sequence $(x_k)_k \subset [0, x]$;

ii) $x' \in E'$ and $x'(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n'(x)$ holds for all x in the ideal I_E generated by E in E'' .

Proof. i) By Definition 2.1 above we may restrict ourselves to the case $E = l^\infty$, which was first treated by Grothendieck in [4], Theorem 9. The assertion ii) follows from i) and Theorem A in [2]. \square

2.6 COROLLARY. Every almost σ -complete $C(S)$ -space has the Grothendieck property, i.e. $x_n' \xrightarrow{w'} 0$ in $C(S)'$ implies $x_n' \xrightarrow{w} 0$. We do not know if the converse is true.

The proof follows from Theorem 1.1 ii) and Theorem 2.5 i) above. \square

2.7 COROLLARY. Let E be an almost σ -complete Banach lattice, $B \subset E'$ a band and $P: E' \rightarrow B$ the corresponding projection. If $(x_n')_n \subset E'$ and $x_n' \xrightarrow{w'} 0$ then $Px_n' \xrightarrow{w'} 0$. Consequently each band $B \subset E'$ is w' -sequentially complete.

Proof. Let us denote by Q the projection of I_E onto the carrier band of B in I_E . According to Theorem 27.12 in [9] it follows that $(Px')x = x'(Qx)$ for each $x \in I_E$, $x' \in E'$ and thus by Theorem 2.5ii) above we obtain that $(Px_n')x = x_n'(Qx) \rightarrow 0$ for each $x \in I_E$. \square

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

We start with the following

3.1 LEMMA. Let E be a Banach lattice, F a Banach space and $T \in L(E, F)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) T is of type A ;
- ii) T maps every order interval of E into a relatively weakly compact subset of F ;
- iii) T maps every order bounded sequence of pairwise disjoint elements into a norm convergent to 0 sequence ;
- iv) T maps every order bounded sequence into a sequence with stable subsequences .

If in addition E is σ -complete then the conditions i)-iv) above are also equivalent with

- v) There exists no sublattice X of E , lattice isomorphic to l^∞ , such that $T|X$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. The condition ii) is equivalent with the fact that all compositions $T \circ \dot{A}_x$ ($x \in E, x > 0$) are weakly compact. Consequently the equivalence of the conditions i) - iv) follows from Theorem 1.1 above. Clearly, iii) implies v). We shall show that v) implies iii). For, let $(x_n)_n \subset [0, u]$ a sequence of pairwise disjoint elements of E and suppose that $\inf \|Tx_n\| > 0$. We consider the operator $S: l^\infty \rightarrow E$ given by $S((a_n)_n) = (\sigma) - \sum a_n x_n$. Then $T \circ S$ verifies the assumptions of Proposition 1.2 ii) above and thus the restriction of T to a certain sublattice X of E , lattice isomorphic to l^∞ , is an isomorphism, contradiction. \square

3.2 THEOREM. Let E be an almost σ -complete Banach lattice, F a Banach space and $T \in L(E, F)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent :

- i) T is of type A ;
- ii) T'' maps the ideal I_E (generated by E in E'') into F ;
- iii) T has the Pelczynski's property (u), i.e. for each weak Cauchy sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E there is a weakly summable sequence $(y_n)_n$ in $\overline{T(E)}$ such that $Tx_n - \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \xrightarrow{w} 0$;
- iv) There is no subspace X of E , isomorphic to $C[0,1]$, such that $T|_X$ is an isomorphism ;
- v) There is no subspace X of E , isomorphic to l^∞ , such that $T|_X$ is an isomorphism .

Proof. i) \implies ii). Let $Q: E \longrightarrow E''$ the canonical embedding and let $x \in E, x > 0$. Since i_x is interval preserving so is $(i_x)''$ (see [7]) and thus

$$T''[0, Qx] = T''[0, (i_x)''x] = (T \cdot i_x)''[0, x] .$$

If T is of type A then $T \cdot i_x$ is weakly compact and thus $T''[0, Qx] \subset F$ for each $x \in E, x > 0$.

ii) \implies iii). Without loss of generality we may assume that E is also separable. Then E (and also B_E , the band generated by E in E'') has a weak order unit $u > 0$. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a weak Cauchy sequence in E . Since B_E is w' -sequentially complete, there exists a $z \in B_E$ such that $x_n \xrightarrow{w'} z$. See Corollary 2.7 above. Since B_E is an order complete vector lattice with a weak order unit, there exists a sequence $(z_n)_n$ of pairwise disjoint elements such that $|z_n| \leq nu$ and $z = (\sigma)\text{-}\sum z_n$. The sequence $(z_n)_n$ is w' -summable (with summ z) and contained in I_E . In fact, for each $x' \in E'$ we have $\sum |x'(z_n)| \leq \sum |z_n|(|x'|) \leq |x'|(\mathbb{Z})$ and $z(x') = \sum z_n(x')$. By ii),

$y_n = Tz_n \in F$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $(y_n)_n$ being w' -summable in F , it is also weakly summable in F . It is clear that

$$y'(Tx_n - \sum_{k=1}^n y_k) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for each } y' \in F'.$$

iii) \implies iv). In fact, it is well known that $C[0,1]$ contains the James' space J as a subspace and that 1_J fails the Pelczynski's property (u). On the other hand, the property (u) is hereditary. See [6] for details.

iv) \implies v). In fact, l^∞ contains an isomorphic copy of $C[0,1]$.

v) \implies i). If T is not of type A then by Proposition 3.1 above there exist an $\alpha > 0$ and a sequence $(x_n)_n \subset [0, \alpha]$ of pairwise disjoint elements of E such that $\|Tx_n\| > \alpha$. Since E is almost σ -complete, there exists an operator $S \in L(l^\infty, E)$ such that $Se_n = x_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $(e_n)_n$ denotes the natural basis of c_0 . Then Proposition 1.2 above yields a subspace X of E , isomorphic to l^∞ , such that $T|_X$ is an isomorphism. \square

We pass now to the problem of characterizing the operators of type B. We shall need the following result concerning the reciprocal Dunford-Pettis property:

3.3 LEMMA. Let E be a Banach lattice which contains no lattice isomorph of l^1 , F a Banach space and $T \in L(E, F)$. If T maps every weakly convergent sequence of pairwise disjoint elements into a norm convergent sequence then T is weakly compact.

See [11] for details.

3.4 THEOREM. Let E be a Banach lattice, F a Banach space and $T \in L(E, F)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) T is of type B ;
- ii) $T \circ i_{x''}$ is weakly compact for every $x'' \in E''$, $x'' > 0$;

iii) If $(x_n)_n$ is a weakly summable sequence of pairwise disjoint positive elements of E then $\|Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$;

iv) If $(x_n)_n$ is a weakly summable sequence of positive elements of E then $\|Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$;

v) There exists no sublattice X of E , lattice isomorphic to c_0 , such that $T|_X$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Clearly, $i) \implies iv) \implies iii) \iff v)$.

$iii) \implies ii)$. One applies Lemma 3.3 above. Each Banach lattice E_x is an AM-space and thus contains no lattice isomorph of l^1 . Also, each norm bounded sequence of pairwise disjoint elements of E_x is equivalent to the natural basis of c_0 and thus it is weakly summable.

$ii) \implies i)$. Each sequence $(x_n)_n$ in E such that $0 < x_n \uparrow$ and $\|x_n\| \leq K$ can be viewed as a weak Cauchy sequence in a certain space E_x . \square

From Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.4 ii) it follows that each operator of type B is also of type A. A case when the converse is also true is indicated by the following:

3.5 PROPOSITION. Let E be a Banach lattice, F a Banach space and $T \in L(E', F)$ an operator of type A. Then T is also of type B.

Proof. Suppose that T is not of type B. Then by Theorem 3.4 there exists a weakly summable sequence of pairwise disjoint elements x_n of E such that $\|Tx_n\| \geq a > 0$. Then $X = \overline{\text{Span}}(x_n)_n$ is lattice isomorphic to

c_0 . Let $i: X \rightarrow E'$ the canonical inclusion and let $P: E'' \rightarrow E'$

the positive projection given by $(Px'')_x = x''(x)$ for all $x'' \in E''$

and $x \in E$. By Proposition 1.2 ii) there exists an infinite subset

$N_1 \subset N$ such that $T \circ P \circ i''|_{l^\infty(N_1)}$ is an isomorphism. Then $\tilde{X} =$

$\overline{\text{Span}} (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_1}$ is lattice isomorphic to l^∞ and the restriction of T to \tilde{X} is an isomorphism, in contradiction with the fact that T is an operator of type A. \square

3.6 PROPOSITION. Let E be a Banach space, F a Banach lattice and $T \in L(E, F)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- i) T' is of type B ;
- ii) $j_{x'} \circ T$ is weakly compact for every $x' \in E', x' > 0$;
- iii) There is no complemented subspace X of E , isomorphic to l^1 , such that $T(X)$ is complemented in F and $T|_X$ is an isomorphism .

Every weakly compact operator is of type B. The converse is not generally true. A remarkable exception constitutes the case when E is a space $C(S)$. See [4] .

4. OPEN PROBLEMS.

The main problem which we leave open concerns the extensions properties of the operators of type B. An operator T defined on a Banach lattice E with values in a Banach space F is said to be of strong type B provided that T'' maps the band B_E , generated by E in E'' , into F . Since B_E is the range of a (positive contractive) projection of E'' , such an operator extends to E'' . Clearly, every operator of strong type B is also of type B.

4.1 PROBLEM. Does there exist an operator of type B which is not of strong type B ?